

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,126	02/14/2006	Yasuo Masuda	SHIGA7.045APC	1055
20995 KNOBBE MA	7590 03/25/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAM	EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			CHU, JOHN S Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1795		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/568,126	MASUDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOHN S. CHU	1795	
COTTO C. CITO		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c	over sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same da application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) a application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in combliance with 37 CFR 1.114. T 	n amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the eal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
periods:	to ropiy made be med main one or the following time
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rej 	ection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX M Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHE	MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	••
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the p have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee story period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 3 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time AMENDMENTS 	(37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration a	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for a appeal; and/or	ppeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding	g number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attack	hed Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if sub non-allowable claim(s). 	
7. \(\sum \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\sum \) will not be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below to The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 13-21.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or or because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient re was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of A entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all is showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was no	ejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the statu REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	s of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT pose Continuation Sheet.	place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)
13. Other:	
/ lot	nn S. Chu/
	ary Examiner, Art Unit 1795
	, ,

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The comparative examples in the specification do not show an unexpected result for compositions having a mixture of PGMEA and ethyl lactate as a solvent composition which use PGMEA alone. Case in point see Examples 1 and 2, Example 1 uses PGMEA alone and gives substantially the same results as Example 2 wherein a composition uses a solvent mixture of PGMEA and ethyl lactate. The rejections given in the Office action indicate that compositions comprising a photosensitive novolak can use a list of solvents alone or in a mixture and reasonable expect same or similar results. No claims are allowed.